Disease Progression among Patients who Receive Available Bladder Preservation Therapies after Failure of BCG Therapy in the SEER-Medicare Data

Min Yang, MD, PhD¹; Mihaela V. Georgieva, PhD¹; Jinlin Song, PhD²; Iryna Bocharova, BA¹; Kun Qian, MSc²; Amy Guo, PhD³; Ashish M. Kamat, MD⁴

¹ Analysis Group, Inc., Boston, MA, USA ² Analysis Group, Inc., Los Angeles, CA, USA ³ FerGene, Cambridge, MA, USA ⁴ Department of Urology, Division of Surgery, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

Figure 1. Sample selection flowchart

Carcinoma in situ (CIS)

Non-invasive papillary carcinoma (Ta) Tumor invaded subepithelial connective tissue (T1)

High-grade Ta High-grade T1 CIS

BCG. Bacillus Calmette-Guérin; HMO, health maintenance organization; NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. docetaxel, and gemcitabine + mitomycin $C.^{5,7,7}$

Aged \geq 65 years with a primary bladder cancer diagnosis recorded between 2008–2015 and continuously enrolled in Medicare Parts A and B without HMO coverage for \geq 12 months before the diagnosis date and until the end of data availability N=54,444 Diagnosed with NMIBC (Ta, T1, CIS, N0, M0) N=39,789 (73.1%) N=25,096 (63.1%) N=11,910 (29.9%) N=2,783 (7.0%) Diagnosed with high-grade NMIBC (high-grade Ta, high-grade T1, CIS) N=16,837 (42.3%) N=5,535 (32.9%) N=8,519 (50.6%) N=2,783 (16.5%) Received at least 5 weekly BCG instillations after diagnosis N=7,074 (42.0%) Bladder-preserving NMIBC therapy* within 6 months of BCG induction N=620 (8.8%) * BPT for NMIBC included BCG + interferon alpha, docetaxel, doxorubicin, epirubicin, gemcitabine, mitomycin C, nab-paclitaxel, thiotepa, valrubicin, gemcitabine + Results

Sample characteristics (Table 1)

- 620 patients met the inclusion/exclusion criteria and initiated BPT (Figure 1) • Majority (50.5%) were diagnosed with HG T1 tumor, 31.1% with HG Ta, and 18.4%
- with CIS
- Mean CCI score: 1.4 (SD=1.7)
- Most common comorbidities: hypertension (86.0%), dyslipidemia (78.9%), and urinary tract infection (78.2%)
- Most commonly used BPT agents: mitomycin C (66.0%), followed by BCG + interferon alpha (22.9%), valrubicin (4.0%), doxorubicin (2.9%), and gemcitabine (2.1%)

Background

- Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) therapy after transurethral resection of the bladder tumor (TURBT) is the standard treatment for high grade (HG) non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) patients, but up to 50% fail to maintain a response within 5 years^{1–3}
- For patients with HG NMIBC after BCG failure, treatment options remain limited, with cystectomy being guideline recommended treatment but many patients will attempt bladder preservation therapies (BPTs)⁴⁻⁶

Objective

 Examine real-world utilization and outcomes (progression-free survival and time-to-progression) associated with available BPTs after BCG treatment among patients with HG NMIBC

Methods

Data source and study population

- This study used the linked Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER)-Medicare data (2007–2016)
- Eligible patients received at least one BCG induction course (≥5 consecutive weekly instillations) after diagnosis, and initiated BPT within six months of the last consecutive BCG instillation (Figure 1)
- Index date: the date of BPT initiation following BCG induction
- Baseline period: 12 months prior to the index date
- Patients who progressed before the index date were excluded, where progression was identified as initiation of treatment for muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC), radical cystectomy, and/or presence of metastases

Study outcomes and statistical analysis

- Demographic and clinical characteristics prior to BPT initiation were described using the mean (standard deviation [SD]) and median values for continuous variables and frequency distributions for categorical variables
- BPT agents after BCG treatment were summarized using counts and proportions
- Progression-free survival (PFS), time-to-progression (TTP), and time-to-metastasis (TTM) were assessed using Kaplan-Meier analysis
- PFS: time from index date to progression or death due to bladder cancer. Patients were censored for non-bladder cancer causes of death or at the end of data availability
- TTP and TTM: similar to PFS, except that patients who died due to any cause were censored

Methods (continued)

Results (continued)

Table 1. Patient characteristics

Demographic characteristics	
Age at index date (years), mean (SD) [median]	
Male, n (%)	
Race, n (%)	
White, non-Hispanic	
Black, non-Hispanic	
Other*	
Clinical characteristics	
Tumor stage at diagnosis, n (%)	
High-grade Ta	
High-grade T1	
CIS	
Year of diagnosis, n (%)	
2008–2010	
2011–2013	
2014–2015	
Bladder-preserving therapy, n (%)	
Mitomycin C	
BCG and interferon	
Valrubicin	
Doxorubicin	
Gemcitabine	
Other [‡]	
Number of TURBTs prior to index date, mean (SD)	
BCG induction	
Number of instillations received, mean (SD) [median]	
≥5 instillations received, n (%)	
BCG maintenance (≥1 instillation received), n (%)	
CCI score, [§] mean (SD)	
Common CCI comorbidities,§ n (%)	
Diabetes	
Chronic pulmonary disease	
Peripheral vascular disease	
Congestive heart failure	
Renal disease	
Other common comorbidities, n (%)	
Hypertension	
Dyslipidemia	
Urinary tract infection	
Other malignancies	
Anxiety/depression	
Alzheimer's disease	

BCG. Bacillus Calmette-Guérin; BPT, bladder-preserving therapy; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; HG, high grade; NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer; SD, standarc deviation; TURBT, transurethral resection of bladder tumor. * Other races included Asian, Hispanic, North American Native, other, and unknown; * Other BPTs included thiotepa, epirubicin, docetaxel, nab-paclitaxel, and the combination therapies of gemcitabine and docetaxel, and gemcitabine and mitomycin C; § Comorbidities were assessed in the 12 months prior to the index date. The CCI has been modified to exclude malignancies and metastatic solid tumors. Only the 5 most common CCI comorbidities were reported.

Results (continued)

N=620 77.5 (6.8) [77.0] 483 (77.9%) 589 (95.0%) 13 (2.1%) 18 (2.9%)

193	(31.1%)
313	(50.5%)
114	(18.4%)
212	(34.2%)
228	(36.8%)
180	(29.0%)
409	(66.0%)
142	(22.9%)
25	(4.0%)
18	(2.9%)
13	(2.1%)
13	(2.1%)
2.5	(1.3)
5.9	(0.4) [6.0]
528	(85.2%)
77	(12.4%)
1.4	(1.7)
235	(37.9%)
192	(31.0%)
160	(25.8%)
120	(19.4%)
110	(17.7%)
533	(86.0%)
489	(78.9%)
485	(78.2%)
208	(33.5%)
203	(32.7%)
18	(2.9%)

Survival outcomes

- The rate of PFS was 80.9%, 61.8%, and 52.3% at 1, 3, and 5 years (Figure 2)
- In the TTP analysis, disease progression occurred in 18.7%, 36.4%, and 45.4% of patients at 1, 3, and 5 years (Figure 3)
- Disease progression was predominantly identified by presence of metastases, which accounted for 40.5%, 50.0%, and 50.2% of all identified progression events within 1, 3, and 5 years of BPT initiation (Table 2)
- Metastases were present in 9.5%, 24.8%, and 32.6% of patients at 1, 3, and 5 years

Figure 2. Progression-free survival





Year 5

52.3%

Resu	ts	(continued

Table 2. Progression events over five years among patients who progressed

	Metastases	Radical cystectomy	MIBC treatments*	Total cumulative events
ver 1 year	45 (40.5%)	39 (35.1%)	27 (24.3%)	111 (100.0%)
ver 3 years	93 (50.0%)	56 (30.1%)	37 (19.9%)	186 (100.0%)
ver 5 years	104 (50.2%)	62 (30.0%)	41 (19.8%)	207 (100.0%)

oxorubicin and gemcitabine.

Limitations

- General limitations of using claims data also applied to this study, including potential incorrect records and inability to capture services or treatments received outside of the Medicare plan
- Progression to MIBC was assessed using a composite proxy measure based on relevant treatment and diagnosis codes, which may underestimate the progression rate

Conclusions

- Disease progression occurs in 18.7%, 36.4%, and 45.4% of patients at 1, 3, and 5 years following available BPT after BCG therapy
- Development of metastatic disease accounts for 40.5%, 50.0%, and 50.2% of all identified progression events within 1, 3, and **5 years of BPT initiation**
- A high unmet need remains for novel bladder-sparing therapies to improve outcomes in this difficult-to-treat population

References

- . Packiam VT et al. Cancer. 2017;123(3):390-400.
- 2. Witjes JA. *Eur Urol.* 2006;49(5):790–797.
- 3. Hussain MH et al. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(34):5680–5684.
- 4. Chang SS et al. The Journal of Urology. 2016 Oct;196(4):1021–9.
- 5. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *Bladder Cancer.* Version 4.2019.
- 6. Zlotta AR et al. CUAJ. 2009 December;3(6):Suppl 4.
- 7. Kamat AM et al. Nature Reviews Urology. 2015 Apr;12(4):225. 8. Li R et al. *Eur Urol.* 2018 Oct;74(4):405–408.

Sponsorship: FerGene provided funding for this research to Analysis Group, Inc. **Corresponding author:** Amy Guo, PhD; email: Amy.Guo@fergene.com

Year 5 45.4%

Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from ASCO[®] and the author of this poster.

